arXiv:1409.5842v1 [math.AG] 20 Sep 2014

Numbers of points of surfaces in the projective 3-space over finite fields *

Masaaki Homma †
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Kanagawa University
Hiratsuka 259-1293, Japan
homma@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Seon Jeong Kim ‡
Department of Mathematics and RINS
Gyeongsang National University
Jinju 660-701, Korea
skim@gnu.kr

Abstract

In the previous paper, we established an elementary bound for numbers of points of surfaces in the projective 3-space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this paper, we give the complete list of surfaces that attain the elementary bound. Precisely those surfaces are the hyperbolic surface, the nonsingular Hermitian surface, and the surface of minimum degree containing all $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of the 3-space.
Key Words: Finite field, Surface, Number of points
MSC: 14G15, 14J70, 14N05, 14N15

1 Introduction

Let S be a surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane components, and $N_q(S)$ the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of S. In the previous paper [8], we established the elementary bound for $N_q(S)$:

$$N_q(S) \leq (d-1)q^2 + dq + 1, \tag{1}$$

and also gave three examples of surfaces that achieve the upper bound (1). The goal of this paper is to show that only those three are examples of such surfaces.

*submitted to Finite Fields and their Applications.
†Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (24540056), JSPS.
‡Partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A2042228), and also by the Gyeongsang National University Fund for Professors on Sabbatical Leave, 2013.

Theorem *For a surface S in $\mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane components, if equality holds in* (1)*, then the degree d of S is either* 2 *or* $\sqrt{q}+1$ (*when q is a square*) *or* $q+1$*. Furthermore, the surface S is projectively equivalent to one of the following surfaces over $\mathbb{F}_q$:*

(i) $X_0X_1 - X_2X_3 = 0$ *if* $d = 2$;

(ii) $X_0^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_1^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_2^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_3^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0$ *if* $d = \sqrt{q}+1$;

(iii) $X_0X_1^q - X_0^qX_1 + X_2X_3^q - X_2^qX_3 = 0$ *if* $d = q+1$.

Notation For an algebraic set X defined by equations over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in a projective space, the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of X is denoted by $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and the cardinality of $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by $N_q(X)$. The symbol $\theta_q(r)$ denotes $N_q(\mathbb{P}^r)$, and we understand $\theta_q(0) = 1$.

The set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-planes of $\mathbb{P}^3$ is denoted by $\check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line l in $\mathbb{P}^3$, $\check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the set $\{H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid H \supset l\}$.

When Y is a finite set, ${}^{\#}Y$ denotes the cardinality of Y.

When M is a matrix, ${}^tM$ denotes the transposed matrix of M.

2 Review of some results in our previous works

2.1 Plane curves

To investigate plane sections of S, we need some results on plane curves.

Proposition 2.1 (Sziklai bound) *Let C be a curve of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-line components. Then*

$$N_q(C) \le (d-1)q + 1 \tag{2}$$

unless C is the curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ defined by

$$(X+Y+Z)^4 + (XY+YZ+ZX)^2 + XYZ(X+Y+Z) = 0 \tag{3}$$

with a certain choice of coordinates X, Y, Z of $\mathbb{P}^2$. For the exceptional case, the number of $\mathbb{F}_4$-points is 14*.*

Proof. The proof is spreaded over three papers [3, 4, 5].

Note that the bound (2) makes sense only for $d \le q+2$ because $(d-1)q+1 > \theta_q(2)$ if $d > q+2$.

Lemma 2.2 *The curve* (3) *has* 7 *bitangent lines, and those lines are defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, in particular they are also $\mathbb{F}_4$-lines.*

Proof. See [3, Remark 3.1].

Although the classification of curves that attain the Sziklai bound is still under way [6, 7], several properties of such curves are known. In the next lemma, $\operatorname{Sing} C$ denotes the set of singular points of C.

Lemma 2.3 *Let C be a plane curve of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-line components such that $N_q(C) = (d-1)q+1$. Then C is absolutely irreducible and* $\operatorname{Sing} C \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset$. *Furthermore, $d = 2$ or $\sqrt{q}+1 \leq d \leq q+2$.*

Proof. For the first part of the assertion, see [4, §2]. The Hasse-Weil bound for a plane curve which may have singularities holds in the following form [1, Corollary 2.5], [10, Corollary 2]: for an absolutely irreducible plane curve C of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$,

$$N_q(C) \leq q + 1 + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q}. \tag{4}$$

The bound (4) is better than (2) if $2 < d < \sqrt{q}+1$, in fact, $(d-1)q + 1 - \big(q + 1 + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q}\big) = (d-2)(\sqrt{q}+1-d)\sqrt{q}$. □

2.2 Space surfaces

Since the right-hand side of the inequality (1) is bigger than $N_q(\mathbb{P}^3) = \theta_q(3)$ if $d > q+1$, the meaningful range for d is $2 \leq d \leq q+1$. From now on, we keep the following situation.

Setting 2.4 Let d be an integer with $2 \leq d \leq q+1$, and S a surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane components. We assume that $N_q(S) = (d-1)q^2 + dq + 1$.

Under this setting, we already observed several properties of lines on S in [9, §3], especially the surface in Setting 2.4 has an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line [9, Lemma 3.3].

Definition 2.5 Let $l_1, \ldots, l_d$ be $\mathbb{F}_q$-lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $d \geq 2$, and $Z = \cup_{i=1}^{d} l_i$. The union of lines Z is called a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d if those d lines lie on a plane simultaneously and they meet together at a point, which is called the vertex of Z and denoted by v_Z.

Since $d \geq 2$, the plane on which Z lies and the vertex of Z are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

For the surface S in Setting 2.4, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_S = \{H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid S \cap H \text{ is a planar } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-pencil of degree } d\}.$$

Lemma 2.6 *Let l be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line on the surface S in Setting* 2.4.

(i) *If an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane H contains l, then $S \cap H$ is a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d.*

(ii) *The map $\check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q) \ni H \mapsto v_{S\cap H} \in l(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is bijective.*

Proof. See [9, Lemma 3.6].

Lemma 2.7 *Let S be the surface in Setting* 2.4, *and H an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane such that $S \cap H$ is a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d. If an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line l on S goes through the vertex $v_{S\cap H}$, then l lies on H, and so it is a component of $S \cap H$.*

Proof. See [9, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 2.8 *Let S be the surface in Setting* 2.4*, and $P \in S(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then there is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane H containing P such that $S \cap H$ is a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil with $v_{S\cap H} = P$.*

Proof. See [9, Corollary 3.8].

Corollary 2.9 *Let S be the surface in Setting* 2.4*. There is a natural bijection between $S(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the set $\mathcal{Z}_S$ by*

$$\mathcal{Z}_S \ni H \mapsto v_{S\cap H} \in S(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, this map is surjective. The injectivity comes from Lemma 2.7. □

3 Possible degrees

The next proposition is a generalization of [9, Proposition 4.1] to any degree d.

Proposition 3.1 *Under Setting* 2.4*, let H be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane of $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then $S \cap H$ is either*

(1) *a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d, or*

(2) *a plane curve of degree d without $\mathbb{F}_q$-line components with $N_q(S \cap H) = (d-1)q + 1$.*

Furthermore, for $i = 1$ and 2, let ν_i denote the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-planes such that their sections on S have the property (i) above. Then $\nu_1 = N_q(S)$ and $\nu_2 = \theta_q(3) - N_q(S)$.

Proof. If $S \cap H$ contains an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line, then it is a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d by Lemma 2.6. When $S \cap H$ does not contain any $\mathbb{F}_q$-line, $N_q(S \cap H) \le (d-1)q + 1$ by the Sziklai bound, except for the case $d = q = 4$. But even this case, Sziklai's inequality holds under Setting 2.4. In fact, suppose $d = q = 4$ and $S \cap H_0$ were defined by (3). Then counting the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of S by using a bitangent l to $S \cap H_0$ in Lemma 2.2, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} N_q(S) &= \sum_{H \in \check{l}(\mathbb{F}_4)} \Big({}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) - 2 \Big) + 2 \\ &\le 5 \cdot (14 - 2) + 2 = 62, \end{aligned}$$

but $N_q(S)$ should be $(4-1)\cdot 4^2 + 4 \cdot 4 + 1 = 65$.

Consider the correspondence

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(P, H) \in S(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid P \in H\}$$

together with projections $\pi_1 : \mathcal{A} \to S(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{A} \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Counting ${}^{\#}\mathcal{A}$ by using π_1, we have

$$ {}^{\#}\mathcal{A} = N_q(S)\, \theta_q(2). \tag{5}$$

Recall

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_S &= \{H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid S \cap H \text{ is a planar } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-pencil}\}\\ &= \{H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid S \cap H \text{ contains an } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-line}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then ${}^{\#}\mathcal{Z}_S = N_q(S)$ by Corollary 2.9. Hence counting ${}^{\#}\mathcal{A}$ by using π_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{\#}\mathcal{A} &= \sum_{H\in\mathcal{Z}_S} \pi_2^{-1}(H) + \sum_{H\in\check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)\setminus\mathcal{Z}_S} \pi_2^{-1}(H)\\ &\le N_q(S)(dq+1) + (\theta_q(3) - N_q(S))((d-1)q+1) \qquad (*)\\ &= N_q(S)q + \theta_q(3)((d-1)q+1)\\ &= \left((d-1)q^2+dq+1\right)q + (q^2+1)(q+1)((d-1)q+1)\\ &= N_q(S)\,\theta_q(2).\end{aligned}$$

Taking account of (5), we know that equality holds in $(*)$, which means that $N_q(S\cap H) = (d-1)q+1$ for any $H \in \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)\setminus\mathcal{Z}_S$. □

Proposition 3.2 *Under Setting* 2.4*, let l be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then ${}^{\#}(l\cap S(\mathbb{F}_q))$ is either* 0 *or* 1 *or d or $q+1$.*

Proof. For a given $\mathbb{F}_q$-line l, the set $\{H \in \mathcal{Z}_S \mid H \supset l\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(l)$, that is, $\mathcal{Z}(l) = \mathcal{Z}_S \cap \check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then ${}^{\#}(l\cap S(\mathbb{F}_q)) = {}^{\#}\mathcal{Z}(l)$. To see this claim, put $\alpha = {}^{\#}(l\cap S(\mathbb{F}_q))$ and $\beta = {}^{\#}\mathcal{Z}(l)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}N_q(S) &= \sum_{H\in\check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q)} (N_q(S\cap H) - \alpha) + \alpha\\ &= \sum_{H\in\mathcal{Z}(l)} (N_q(S\cap H) - \alpha) + \sum_{H\in\check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q)\setminus\mathcal{Z}(l)} (N_q(S\cap H) - \alpha) + \alpha\\ &= \beta(dq+1-\alpha) + (q+1-\beta)((d-1)q+1-\alpha) + \alpha\\ &= \beta q + (d-1)q^2 + dq + 1 - \alpha q.\end{aligned}$$

Since $N_q(S) = (d-1)q^2+dq+1$, we have $\alpha = \beta$.

Hence if ${}^{\#}(l\cap S(\mathbb{F}_q)) > 0$, there is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane $H \in \check{l}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $S\cap H$ is a planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d. A planar $\mathbb{F}_q$-pencil of degree d meets an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line on the plane in 1 or d or $q+1$ $\mathbb{F}_q$-points. Since $l\cap S = l\cap(S\cap H)$, this completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3 *In Setting* 2.4*, the degree d of S must be either $q+1$ or $\sqrt{q}+1$ or* 2.

Proof. Suppose $d < q+1$. Then $\theta_q(3) - N_q(S) > 0$. Hence there is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane H such that $S\cap H$ has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-line components and $N_q(S\cap H) = (d-1)q+1$ by Proposition 3.1. Put $C = S\cap H$. By Lemma 2.3, C is absolutely irreducible and $\operatorname{Sing} C \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset$.

Let l be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-line in H. Then ${}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 0$ or 1 or d or $q+1$ by Proposition 3.2, however ${}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = q+1$ never occur because C has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-line components and $d < q+1$.

For each $i = 0$ or 1 or d, let

$$x_i = {}^{\#}\{l : \text{an } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-line} \subset H \mid {}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = i\}.$$

Then

$$x_0 + x_1 + x_d = \theta_q(2).$$

Furthermore ${}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 1$ if and only if l is the tangent line at an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point. In fact, the "if" part is obvious by the possible values of ${}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q))$. We see the "only if" part of this claim. Let P_0 be the $\mathbb{F}_q$-point of $l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose l is not the tangent line $T_{P_0}(C)$ to C at P_0. Then ${}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = {}^{\#}(T_{P_0}(C) \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 1$. Let $m_1, \ldots, m_{q-1}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$-lines passing through P_0 other than l or $T_{P_0}(C)$. Since ${}^{\#}(m_i \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{P_0\}) \leq d-1$,

$$N_q(C) \leq (d-1)(q-1) + 1,$$

which contradicts $N_q(C) = (d-1)q + 1$. Therefore $x_1 = N_q(C) = (d-1)q + 1$.

Consider the correspondence

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(P, l) \in C(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \check{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid {}^{\#}(l \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = d,\ P \in l\},$$

where $\check{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-lines that are contained in $H = \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $p_1 : \mathcal{P} \to C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $p_2 : \mathcal{P} \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be projections. Since any $\mathbb{F}_q$-line l on H passing through $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ except the tangent line $T_P(C)$ meets $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in d points, ${}^{\#}p_1^{-1}(P) = q$. So ${}^{\#}\mathcal{P} = ((d-1)q+1)q$. On the other hand, ${}^{\#}p_2^{-1}(l) = d$ for any $l \in \operatorname{Im} p_2$. Hence,

$$x_d = {}^{\#} \operatorname{Im} p_2 = ((d-1)q+1)q/d.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \theta_q(2) - x_1 - x_d \\ &= -\frac{q}{d}(d - (\sqrt{q}+1))(d + \sqrt{q} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which must be nonnegative. So we have $d \leq \sqrt{q}+1$. Combining this with the latter part of Lemma 2.3, we have $d = 2$ or $\sqrt{q}+1$ if $d < q+1$. □

4 Uniqueness

As we saw in the previous section, the possible degrees of surfaces in Setting 2.4 are 2, $\sqrt{q}+1$ and $q+1$.

4.1 $d = 2$

This case is classical [2]. Only hyperbolic quadric surfaces have $(q+1)^2$ points over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and they are projectively equivalent to each other over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

4.2 $d = \sqrt{q} + 1$

This case has been discussed in [9].

4.3 $d = q + 1$

The number $(d-1)q^2 + dq + 1$ for $d = q+1$ is exactly $\theta_q(3)$. This case was already handled by Tallini [11] in a little more general context.

Proposition 4.1 *Let S be a surface of degree $q+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-plane components. If $S(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then S is projectively equivalent to the surface*

$$X_0X_1^q - X_0^qX_1 + X_2X_3^q - X_2^qX_3 = 0$$

over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. In $\mathbb{P}^3$ with homogeneous coordinates $X_0, \ldots, X_3$, the ideal of the algebraic set $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is generated by

$$\{X_iX_j^q - X_i^qX_j \mid 0 \le i < j \le 3\}.$$

Hence S is defined by an equation of the type

$$(X_0, \ldots, X_3)A\,{}^t(X_0^q, \ldots, X_3^q) = 0,$$

where A is an alternating matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. When q is a power of 2, we understand an alternating matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$ to be a symmetric matrix whose diagonals are 0. By the standard argument of linear algebra, we can find a nonsingular matrix G over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that ${}^tGAG$ is either

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ -1 & 0 & \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{O} & & \mathrm{O} \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \mathrm{O} \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ \mathrm{O} & & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that since all entries of $G = (g_{ij})$ are in $\mathbb{F}_q$, the matrix $G^{(q)} = (g_{ij}^q)$ coincides with G. Therefore after changing coordinates by G, we get an equation of S as

$$X_0X_1^q - X_0^qX_1 = 0$$

or

$$X_0X_1^q - X_0^qX_1 + X_2X_3^q - X_2^qX_3 = 0,$$

but the former splits into $q+1$ $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear polynomials. Hence only the latter case occurs. □

Acknowledgment This work was done during our stay at Minnesota state University, Mankato. We thank Professor Namyong Lee for his hospitality.

References

[1] Y. Aubry and M. Perret, *Weil theorem for singular curves*, in: R. Pellikaan, M. Perrt and S. Vlăduţ (Eds.), Arithmetic geometry and coding theory (Luminy, 1993), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 1–7.

[2] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, Finite projective spaces of three dimensions (Oxford mathematical monographs), The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.

[3] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Around Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. 15 (2009), 468-474.

[4] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field* II, in: G. McGuire, G.L. Mullen, D. Panario, I.E. Shparlinski (Eds.), Finite Fields: Theory and Applications, 225–234, Contemp. Math., vol. 518, AMS, Providence, 2010.

[5] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field* III, Finite Fields Appl. 16 (2010) 315–319.

[6] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Toward determination of optimal plane curves with a fixed degree over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. 17 (2011) 240–253.

[7] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *The uniqueness of a plane curve of degree q attaining Sziklai's bound over* $\mathbb{F}_q$, Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012) 567–580.

[8] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *An elementary bound for the number of points of a hypersurface over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. 20 (2013) 76–83.

[9] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *The characterization of Hermitian surfaces by the number of points*, preprint, 2013, available at arXiv 1304.0302v1.

[10] D. B. Leep and C. C. Yeomans, *The number of points on a singular curve over a finite field*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 63 (1994) 420–426.

[11] G. Tallini, *Sulle ipersuperficie irriducibili d'ordine minimo che contengono tutti i punti di uno spazio di Galois* $S_{r,q}$, Rend. Mat. e Appl. (5) 20 (1961) 431–479.